AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 26, 2003 AMENDED IN SENATE JANUARY 9, 2003

SENATE BILL No. 3

Introduced by Senator Burton
(Principal coauthor: Senator Vasconcellos)
(Coauthors: Senators Perata, Romero, Scott, and Sher)
(Coauthors: Assembly Members Hancock, Leno, Nation, and Steinberg)

December 2, 2002

An act to add Section 1376 to the Penal Code, relating to the death penalty.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 3, as amended, Burton. Death penalty: mental retardation.

Existing law, added by an initiative statute, provides that the penalty for a defendant who is found guilty of murder in the first degree, where special circumstances exist, is death or imprisonment in the state prison for life. In determining the penalty to be imposed, the trier of fact is required to take into account whether, as a result of mental defect, the defendant had the capability to appreciate the criminality of his or her conduct or to conform that conduct to the requirements of the law, if this is relevant. A recent decision of the United States Supreme Court has held that the imposition of the death penalty on a mentally retarded person is prohibited by the *United States* Constitution.

This bill would define the term "mentally retarded" and would provide that a defendant in any case in which the prosecution seeks the death penalty may apply for an order directing that a mental retardation trial hearing be held. This bill would require a court to order a trial

SB 3 **- 2 —**

hearing, without a jury, to determine whether a defendant is mentally retarded upon submission of a declaration by a qualified expert opining that the defendant is mentally retarded. The bill would specify that the defendant shall present his or her evidence of mental retardation, followed by the prosecution's evidence and any rebuttal evidence, with each party permitted to reopen only as provided. This bill would provide for other specified procedures, and would provide that the prosecution defense shall have the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant is not mentally retarded. It would provide that the penalty for a mentally retarded defendant found guilty of murder in the first degree where special circumstances which would otherwise make him or her eligible for imposition of the death penalty have been found, shall be confinement in the state prison for life without possibility of parole. This bill would also provide that if, after a mental retardation trial hearing, the court or jury finds that the death penalty is not precluded, the criminal trial shall proceed as in any other case in which a sentence of death is sought by the prosecution, and the criminal jury shall not be informed of the prior proceedings or the findings concerning the defendant's claim of mental retardation. Because this bill would place additional duties on prosecutors, it would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. provisions establish procedures for making Statutory reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 1376 is added to the Penal Code, to read:
- 1376. (a) As used in this section, "mentally retarded" means 2
- 3 the condition currently defined in subdivision (a) of Section
- 4 1001.20.
- (b) (1) In any case in which the prosecution seeks the death 5
- penalty, the defendant may, at a reasonable time prior to the commencement of trial, apply for an order directing that a mental

__3__ SB 3

retardation-trial hearing be conducted. Upon the submission of a declaration by a qualified expert stating his or her opinion that the defendant is mentally retarded, the court shall order a trial hearing to determine whether the defendant is mentally retarded. The court shall conduct the hearing without a jury.

- (2) The court shall—conduct the mental retardation trial before a jury unless a jury is waived by the defendant and the prosecution. This jury shall decide only the question of the defendant's mental retardation. The defendant shall present evidence in support of the claim that he or she is mentally retarded. The prosecution shall present its case regarding the issue of whether the defendant is mentally retarded. Each party may offer rebuttal evidence. The court, for good cause in furtherance of justice, may permit either party to reopen its case to present evidence in support of or opposition to the claim of retardation.
- (3) At the close of evidence, the prosecution shall make its final argument, and the defendant shall conclude with his or her final argument. The court shall instruct the jury on all matters of law necessary for the rendering of a verdict. The burden of proof shall be on the prosecution to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is not mentally retarded. be on the defense to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant is mentally retarded. The jury shall return a verdict that either the defendant is mentally retarded or the defendant is not mentally retarded. The verdict of the jury shall be unanimous.
- (c) If the jury, or the court if a jury is waived by the parties, court finds that the defendant is mentally retarded, the court shall preclude the death penalty and the criminal trial thereafter shall proceed as in any other case in which a sentence of death is not sought by the prosecution. If the defendant is found guilty of murder in the first degree, with a finding that one or more of the special circumstances enumerated in Section 190.2 are true, the court shall sentence the defendant to confinement in the state prison for life without the possibility of parole.
- (d) If the jury, or the court if a jury is waived by the parties, *court* finds that the defendant is not mentally retarded, the trial court shall proceed as in any other case in which a sentence of death is sought by the prosecution. The jury shall not be informed of the prior proceedings or the findings concerning the defendant's claim of mental retardation.

SB 3 —4—

SEC. 2. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.